

## **Addendum No. 1 – Questions and Answers**

**1. Given that several municipalities have limited or no crash data available, with some data (assumably) being attributed incorrectly in the FARS (Fatality Analysis Reporting System), how will the consultant incorporate local knowledge and observed safety concerns into the analysis and prioritization process?**

The Consultant is expected to supplement available crash data with local knowledge, stakeholder input, and field observations to ensure that safety concerns—particularly those that may be underreported or misattributed—are incorporated into the analysis and prioritization process. The Consultant should define in the RFP response other ways that these issues can be addressed.

**2. The RFP requires a minimum of seven community meetings, one in each municipality. Beyond this, there is an expectation of a robust community engagement process, including identifying locations where safety concerns may be underreported. How should the consultant define this process and ensure that identified priorities are evaluated?**

The Consultant shall propose their comprehensive community engagement strategy. This strategy should outline methods for gathering input, identifying underreported safety concerns, and documenting and evaluating identified priorities as part of the overall planning process.

**3. Clarification on Task 3 in the RFP:**

As described in Task 3, the Safety Action Plan shall include a Master List of programs, projects, strategies, and measures for each Project Partner. Each municipality will have its own Master List to guide implementation over the coming years; a single regional prioritized list is not acceptable. As stated in Task 6, the final report should contain sections pertinent to each Project Partner.

**4. What level of detail will be required from each municipality when identifying potential projects (e.g., general locations vs. more defined concepts)?**

Municipalities are expected to identify general locations and describe safety concerns. The Consultant will be responsible for developing more defined concepts based on municipal input, stakeholder engagement, and technical analysis. This may include coordination with external stakeholders, such as CDOT, where appropriate.

**5. What level of involvement is expected from municipal staff during the public engagement process?**

Municipal staff are expected to support the public engagement process by providing meeting locations, assisting with outreach and advertising, identifying relevant local stakeholders, and participating in meetings to provide input.

**6. Will there be coordination with CDOT specifically regarding state highways when identifying and evaluating potential improvements?**

Yes. The Consultant is expected to coordinate with CDOT, consistent with the RFP.